Program and the Program for the Physically Handicapped.

- III. The Training Branch, under the direction of the Chief, A&TD, Office of Security is composed of two (2) professional security officers and one secretary. Its function is to provide comprehensive security training program for the Agency by giving security briefings to all new EOD's to the Agency, assisting OS employees in obtaining both Agency and external training and by advising the OS Career Board on training matter. This training program encompases the following activities:
 - A. Lectures and Briefings -
 - All EOD's are provided two security orientation briefings within the first two weeks of entering on duty.
 - a. A One and one-half hour briefing on the security regulations (twice a month).
 - b. A Six-hour briefing on the hostile threat
 (twice a month).
 - c. A special one-half hour briefing for new clerical employees (twice a month).
 - 2. Preparing for Overseas (OTR) One and one-half hour talk on security problems overseas, about 10 times a year.
 - 3. ARFCOS (DOD) one and one-half talk on the Soviet courier system, and security problems, about 11 times per year.

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-9

- 4. Administrative Procedures Course (OTR) A One-hour talk on personnel security, about seven times per year.
- 5. Basic Operations Course (OTR) Three-hour talk on interrogation and polygraph, about twice a year.
- 6. GI Operations Course (OTR) Two-hour talk on interrogation, about three times a year.
- 7. All summer employees are given one 1-hour briefing on the security regulations and another two-hour briefing on the hostile threat every week between 1 May and mid-July.
- 8. Three times a year the Training Branch gives a special EOD security briefing to new Commo employees lasting about three hours.
- 9. Twice a year Training Branch gives a three hour security orientation to the wives of Commo personnel going overseas.
- B. OS Training Courses The Training Branch presents the following courses for OS personnel:
 - 10 Physical Security Course two weeks, twice a year.
 - 2. The Survey Course two weeks, 2 or 3 times a year.
- 25X1A

 3. Security Officers Course one week,
 once a year.
- 4. Security Course three hours, Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

five times a year

- 5. Security Officers Training Course 2-1/2 months, once a year.
- 6. Special Agent's Training Course two weeks, once a year.

Each of the courses requires preparation and coordination of a course schedule, and preparation and administration of course critiques. The Training Branch is also called upon to support the Director of Security, the Security Committee of the USIB and OS components, in organizing special programs and courses, procuring films, and providing technical support to these programs.

- C. Training of Overseas Assignees Administration of training for OS overseas assignees requires:
 - 1. Registration of individual for OTR courses
 - Maintenance of T&A records for students during training period
- D. Senior School Administration -
 - 1. Processes approximately 50 requests per year for OS nominations to Senior Schools and external management programs administered by OTR and the Training Selection Board.
 - a. The initial request for nominations is first forwarded through Chief, A&TD for OS Career Service Board action, along

with a synopsis outlinging prerequisites as to age and grade, any school requirements, the application of the school to OS personnel, the names of those who have been nominated or attended the school in the past, and their comments as to the value of the school to themselves and the Office of Security.

- b. A nomination by the OS/CSB then requires the assembly of nomination papers, including the nominating statement, biographic profile and fitness reports, for forwarding to the DDA over the signature of the Director of Security.
- c. If approved by the DDA and the Training
 Selection Board, the nominee is briefed
 on the program, assisted with registration
 forms and the External Training Request is
 prepared and sent to OTR.
- E. Internal Training Administration Processes 300 to 500 training requests per year requiring close coordination with OTR, OTS, OC or other Agency components providing training. This requires internal coordination, preparation of Form 73, notification of acceptance, provision of instructions, and finally documentation of records to credit individual for

- successful completion of the training.
- F. External Training Administration Process of approximately 60 requests for external training per year.
- G. Surveys, Reports and Special Projects Periodically furnishes various Agency Management Groups
 with reports on course objectives, number of
 students, type of instruction, subject matter
 covered, approximate cost of course, etc.
- H. Security Reindoctrination Program Periodically prepares and presents an Agency-wide Security Reindoctrination Program. Presentations projected to commence in early 1975 will entail approximately sixty lectures.
- IV. The Logistics Branch under the direction of the Chief,
 A&TD, Office of Security is composed of a Logistics
 Officer and a Logistics Assistant. Its function is to
 provide logistical support to the Office. This logistical
 support encompases the following activities:
 - A. Developing logistics plans, programs and policies.
 - B. Preparing Logistics Annex's to security plans, programs and projects.
 - C. Providing supplies, equipment, printing and reproduction support, and miscellaneous services.
 - D. Serving as Accountable Officer for all OS property.
 - E. Administering stock accounting system for Accountable Property.

Approved For Release 2000/09/03/03/05 To all employees within their first two weeks of employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c),	· 1	COMPONENT OS/	/DCM / A CTD /T=	
EVALUATION:	25X1A	COMPONENT: OS/	DATE:	
What is (are) our referen	ice(s) for tl	his activity?	Date(s)? ,	P NOVSMBER 1963
Is (are) the reference(s) Who is (are) the benefici	still in fo	orce? Yes this activity	X No	
	NEW AGENCY E	·	•	
Do you feel the activity Do (es) the beneficiary(ie. Can the activity be done in If so, how? Auromated in Applicational T	more efficie Visual Ains -	is still necess	sary? Yes	X No s_X No
Do you feel that responsible to another OS component If so, where and why?	bility for thor to or to anoth	he activity sh er Office? Ye	ould be tra	ensferred
		•		
Can another component do t More properly? Yes Does the activity include Watergate? Yes No	onythin -	so, which comp	ponent and i	why?
		WHat:	• .	
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope/ erall Agency	size or discon security? Ye	ntinued with	out X
Is there any activity not n important than this one?	low being pur	rsued by OS th OX If so, w	at you feel hat?	is more
	•			

ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 2	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
ACTIVITY CODE.II, E, (c), Z	DATE:
EVALUATION:	DATE.
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? & NOV 1963
	ZOXIA
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes X No
OS TR	AVELERS
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	T TO COULD RECESSALLY LOS / \ TO
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred to ther Office? YesNoX_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again 1f so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 or CLA-RLP 82800823R000700020035 de Forces Courier Service school, Department of Defense.

ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 3	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? (7 APRIL 1966
550-01	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes X No of this activity?
ARFC	·
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	necessary? Yes X No t is still necessary? Yes X No ciently or less costly? Yes NoX
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how? DOES NOT ALD AGEN	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes X No CY Security Directly. TRAINING
ASSISTANCE FOR NEW	ARFCOS couriers
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 4 COME	PONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
1 .	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this Verbal Dequest from OTR based on	activity? Date(s)? & Nov 1963
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of thi	? Yes X No s activity?
OTR TRAINS	45
Do you feel the activity is still necess Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is s Can the activity be done more efficientl If so, how?	ary? Yes No X till necessary? Yes X No y or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for the a to another OS component or to another of If so, where and why?	activity should be transferred Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity mon More properly? Yes No X If so,	re efficiently? Less costly? which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questing Watergate? Yes No X If so, what	onable in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/siz significant effect on overall Agency se If so, how? SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF	curity? Yes 🗸 No
Is there any activity not now being pursu important than this one? Yes No	ed by OS that you feel is more X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000 19/03e: CIA-REP83B0082380007066500361 security Procedures Course.

Approved For Release 2000/09/030vcilaiRDP83B00823R000700020035-1ons Course. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 5 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1965 Varbal Request from oth based on ? Yes X No_ Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency employers Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X No X If so, where and why? 91 cauld be done by OS/181/050/18 personnel Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes X No If so, which component and why?

IB. 9/ the TB club not have experienced petroinel, IB would have to do the got Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No__X__ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

Approved For Release 2000 109/036 CFR FUPP 3 Proxity 20035 1 1 1 on

ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 6 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Verbal lequent from of R

Standon > 25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Against employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X + No X If so, where and why?

18. Wey have the effective

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes X No If so, which component and why?

113 . Wey have the efficiently?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ____ No __ X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_____ If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No ★ If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 7	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)? & Nov 1963
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No of this activity?
Men Agene	e implayees
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No iciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNoX' If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? YesNo
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No ∠ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

Approved For Release 2000 09/03/Security regulations and the hostile threat.

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 8 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 8 Mar. 1963 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \times No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? ven agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No & If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No 🗸 If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible

for providing a special EOD security briefing Approved For Release 2000/09/03 w CIAmRD P83B09823R000700020035-1

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for providing a security orientation briefing Approved For Release 2000/09/03i:fc/A-RDP83E00823F000700020035-nying their husbands on an overseas assignment.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 9 COMPONENT	: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this active office of Canno based on	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this action	Yes \(\times \ No
wines of agency in	splagees traveling overseas
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still n Can the activity be done more efficiently or 1 If so, how?	x
Do you feel that responsibility for the activito another OS component or to another Office If so, where and why?	ty should be transferred? YesNo_X
Can another component do the activity more eff More properly? Yes No X If so, which	iciently? Less costly? h component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?	e in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or or significant effect on overall Agency security If so, how?	liscontinued without 7? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being pursued by important than this one? Yes No If	OS that you feel is more so, what?

The Office of Security component responsible for administering the Office of Security

Approved For Release 2000/09/93caCIA-RDF83B00823R000700020035-1 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: II,	E, (c), 10	COMPONENT: OS/	P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:
		r this activity?	Date(s)? 24 Lept 1974
Precedent base			
Is (are) the ref Who is (are) the	erence(s) still in beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes of this activity	× No
	ÖS.	employees with oo	me exceptions
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benefican the activity If so, how?	activity is still iciary(ies) feel be done more eff	necessary? Yes_ it is still neces iciently or less	<pre> No Ssary? Yes</pre>
·			
Do you feel that to another OS o If so, where an	component or to a	or the activity s nother Office? Y	hould be transferred esNo
Can another compo More properly?	onent do the activ YesNo_X	vity more efficie If so, which co	ently? Less costly? component and why?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	y include anything No 💢 If	g questionable in so, what?	the light of
significant ef	y be reduced in so fect on overall As B menely coorder when the training	gency security?	
Is there any act:	ivity not now bein this one? Yes_X	ng pursued by OS	that you feel is more
alm	osl any thing		

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 11 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 24 Left 1976 Overedent based on 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \times No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS emplayers with some suceptions Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \times No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \times No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No V If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No V If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No
If so, how? TB menel coordinate for more efficiency. ON does not
conclude the training Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes X No If so, what? almast any thing

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 exclaring stering the Office of Security

The Office of Security component responsible

The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03miciAtapers B008/23R000700020035-1 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 12 DATE: EVALUATION: Date(s)? 24 Lyn 1974 What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? 25X1A Precedent based on Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS employees travelling overseas Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No X ? No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ? No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No If so, how? his given infrequently and has been supplemented by a more personal training program Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No__X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes_____ No____ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No____

almost any Thung

important than this one? Yes X No If so, what?

If so, how?

this course has been replaced.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

The Office of Security component responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: for administering the Office of Security Approved For Release 2000 DP&3B00823R000700020035-1 25X1A COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 13 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Memo from DC Africa Support Staff, 26 April 1974 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? all agency personnel from all components, particularly employees and dependents destried for overseas assignments of Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No If so, how? By remodeling Room GA-13 to have diaplaye and Equipment permonently lin place. This would eliminate set-up and break-down time and transport of this equipment.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No 🗶 If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No___ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No ★ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No 🗶 If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of	f Security component responsible
Security Off	icers Training Course.
ACTIVITY CORRES	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 14 COMPON	NENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
	DATE.
What is (are) our mass.	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this ac Memo to C/PP+BD from C/TB dated 23 Open OS Career Brand Minutes 8 May 1974 approx Is (are) the reference(s) still in S	tivity? Date(s)?
Who is (are) the heneficiary (i.e.)	my course.
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this	Yes X No
of but	not similar to OS aturbate.
Do you feel the activity is still necessary Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still can the activity be done more efficiently of If so, how? By eliminating certain portions, e.g. and IWA	11 necessary? Yes V
Do you fool that	E. C.
Do you feel that responsibility for the act to another OS component or to another Off If so, where and why?	ivity should be transferred ice? Yes No X
Can another company	
Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes No X If so, with	efficiently? Less costly? hich component and why?
Does the activity include anything questions Watergate? YesNo If so, what?	able in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size of significant effect on overall Agency secur If so, how? As stated above in paragraph	or discontinued without rity? Yes X No
- and above an paragray	the state.
Is there any activity not now being pursued	1 1

	he Office of Security component responsible
Approved For Release 2000	βġω¾ chġ Rpp kapqokangkeggfgggo20035-1 ty
ACTIVITY CODE:II, E, (c), 15	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branc
EVALUATION:	DATE:
Constitution of the Consti	
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still	in force? Yes $m{\chi}$ No
Who is (are) the heneficiary(ie	se) of this activity?
or investigately Imanagero	will be assigned as Investigators
Do you feel the activity is sti	
Do (the beneficiar (ies) fee	el it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more e If so, how?	efficiently or less costly? YesNo_X
Do you feel that responsibility	for the activity should be transferred
If so, where and why?	another Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Doos the activity include envit	ing questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X	ing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now b important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: for the registration of Office of Security
Approved For Release 2000/99/03 y CdA-RDR83B00823R900700020035-1 ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 16 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch **EVALUATION:** DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? all OS staff and contract employers. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No__X___

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

Cancel this as one of the activities of Training Branch - for purposes of this

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 17 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No___ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/99/03; CJA-RDP83B00823R000700020035:1ses.

The Office of Security component responsible

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 i CIASEDE 33809823 R 9997 90029835 e hent programs administered by OTR and the Training Selection Board. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 18 DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No · Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiar/(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No > If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No 🗶 If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible

The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03s-sch4-RDP83B00823R000700020035-401s and external management programs in their registration processing. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 19 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for processing the requests of Office of Approved For Release 2009/03tyC|A-RDR83B00823R000700020035-Jther Agency components.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 20	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branc
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No Of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Vos V
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 21 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 13 Dec 1972 Fulfill OS responsibilities to OTR according to Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No If so, how? Because it does not affect agency recently directly Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020035-1

for processing the requests of Office of Approved For Release 2000/09/03 i G/A-RDB83B00823R000700020035 in ing.

The Office of Security component responsible

for preparing surveys and reports for various Approved For Release 2009/09/03 MolA-R-DP88B00823R000700020035-durse objectives, number of students, cost subject matter, type of instruction, etc. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 22 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 24 Lept 1974 Fulfill 05 responsibility to assist OTR in cornying out wavegenest studies Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes $\frac{1}{y}$ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OTR Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No__X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No Y If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No____ If so, how? not directly impacting on receivity Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what? NA

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for preparing and presenting an Agency-wide Approved For Release 2000/09/03:16/ARRDR83B90823R000790920035-1 ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (c), 23 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Training Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \angle No \angle Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? all agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No
If so, how? Charge each are security officer to conduct a recurity education program within his own organization. An especially tailored program for each disections would be more valuable them a general program.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by 0S that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes X No If so, what?

R	OUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) 25X1A				
FROM:		-	EXTENSION	NO.
			•• !	
Policy and Plans (Group		x5311	DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and puilding)	: (Officer designation, room number, and DATE OFFICER'	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	1			Halan Olivatina DE7104 tha
Chief, Training Brand	: n			Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will re-
2.				view and validate all Office
				of Security functions, activitiesto ensure effec
3.				tiveness, economy and effici
				The attached documents re- present two milestones under
4.				that objective. Milestone 1
				the components identified their functions and activiti
5.				Milestone 2 was establishmer
				of a format for evaluating theseactivities. It is re
6.				quested that the Training
				Branch Officers review the attachment and:
7.				a) Certify that there are no
				deletions/corrections/ additions to be made to t
8.				previous listing submitte
·				by your office.b) Review the Identification
9.				of Activity statement on
				each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equals to
0.				the comparable activity
				from your offices listing c) Use the attached format
1.				to evaluate each individu
				activity.
2.				
3.				
4				
4.				
5.		•		